Making Arguments in your Political Science writing

John McMahon, Writing Fellow, POLSC / Reading and Writing Center, Hunter College Office Hours in 1730A HW: Mon. 12:15-2pm / Tues. 9:30-11am jmcmahon@gradcenter.cuny.edu

Your Argument(s): Joining Academic Conversations

Questions to ask yourself about the conversation you are joining and arguments you are making

- What is the *empirical and/or interpretative puzzle/problem* you intend to address?
- What *makes* the problem you are working on a *problem*?
- What are the *stakes* in addressing your problem? Why is it significant?

Making arguments

- Remember: arguments are arguable!
- Counterarguments and counterexamples
- Some basic structures of academic argument (Bean 2011, 32)
 - o Many scholars have argued A, but I am going to argue B
 - Scholars have frequently asked questions A, B, and C about case/issue X. However, they have not adequately asked or investigated question D. I pose, explore, and seek to answer question D.
 - o Scholars are confident in their understandings of A and B. However, because they have failed to analyze X and Y, A and/or B is not comprehensively evaluated.
- Some important argumentative moves and examples (Graff and Birkenstein 2014)
 They say / I say
 In discussions of X, one controversial issue has been ______. While scholars have proposed _______, and ______, and ______, this paper instead argues ______.
 Respond to another scholar's/position's/theory's view
 Accept and extend it
 Disagree and reject it
 Complicate it
 Planting a naysayer / Responding to counterarguments
 Some might object that ______. Although I concede ______, I argue that ______.
 So what?
 This argument has significant implications for broader question of

For more information and examples, see Graff and Birkenstein, *They Say/I Say: The*

Using sources (when you're making your own argument...)

, namely

Moves that Matter in Academic Writing

What roles do sources play?

- Background information on case, context, etc.
- Evidence and exhibits of your argument
- Method and/or Theory
- Scholarly work to be in conversation with
- Material to question, interrogate
- Counter-arguments to engage in your own writing

Possible functions of assembling a conversation of academic sources

- Establish a controversy
- Establish a consensus that you develop or apply elsewhere, that you dissent from
- Establish a gap in knowledge

Using Active Verbs in Your Writing and Argumentation

For making a claim:	For expressing agreement:	For questioning/disagreeing:	For marking
			recommendations:
argue	acknowledge	complicate	advocate
assert	admire	contradict	demand
believe	agree	disavow	encourage
claim	corroborate	question	implore
emphasize	endorse	refute	recommend
insist	reaffirm	repudiate	urge
observe	support		
report	verify		
suggest			

Using Transitions to Connect Sentences, Ideas, and Arguments Adapted from Graff and Birkenstein 2014, 109-10

Addition	Elaboration	Example	Comparison
Besides	By extension	After all	Along the same lines
Furthermore	In other words	Consider	In the same way
In addition	That is	For instance	Likewise
Indeed	Actually	For example	Similarly
Moreover		Specifically	
Cause and Effect	Contrast	Concession	Conclusion
Accordingly	Although	Admittedly	As a result
As a result	But	Although	Consequently
Consequently	By contrast	Granted	Hence
Hence	Conversely	To be sure	In short
Thus	Despite	Of course	In sum
Therefore	Even though		Therefore
So	However		Thus
	In contrast		In conclusion
	Nevertheless		
	Nonetheless		
	On the other hand		
	Whereas		

Portions of this handout adapted from:

- Anderson, Steph M. 2012. "Elements of Successful Argumentation and the Drafting Process," Hunter WAC Program.
- Bean, John C. 2011. Engaging Ideas: The Professor's Guide to Integrating Writing, Critical Thinking, and Active Learning in the Classroom. 2nd ed. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass
- Graff, Gerald and Cathy Birkenstein. 2014. *They Say/I Say: The Moves That Matter in Academic Writing*. 3rd ed. New York and London: W.W. Norton & Company